CHEMITSCLY														
INST	CLASS	I1	I2	13	I4	15	16	I7	18	19	I10	I11	I12	113
HAHN, J	CH-101 -1								-					
	Mean	2.33	2.50	2.44	1.57	2.46	2.26	2.34	1.73	2.25	1.92	2.33	1.79	1.53
	N	61	58	57	56	54	57	56	45	59	53	51	56	58
	Median	2.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.50	1.00
		.93										1.13	.89	.86
	Skewness	.07	09	.12	1.17	13	.25	.08	.33	.27	.32	.08	.60	1.42
	CH-101 -2													
	Mean	2.18	2.46	2.13	1.60								1.82	
	N	56	_	53	53	52			47					
													1.00	
	StdDev													.95
	Skewness	.35	.11	.40	1.34	.07	.42	.47	.76	.49	.82	.10	.76	1.19
	CH-101L-4R													
	Mean	2.08											2.00	
	N	24			25	25								27
	Median													
	StdDev													
	Skewness	.30	32	11	1.34	47	18	33	.84	12	02	12	.00	. 68
	CH-101L-4T													
	Mean												1.76	
	N	19			18	20							21	23
	Median													
	StdDev	.76	1.09	.86	.86	1.09	.90	.91	.72	1.02	.96			
	Skewness	29	56	36	1.26	29	.34	24	1.73	.69	.77	32	.99	1.45
	CH-101L-FW													
	Mean												1.41	
	N	23			23									25
	Median	2.00	2.00	3.00	1.00									
	StdDev	.92	1.08	.89	. 62			1.03		1.01		1.07		
	Skewness	.11	.07	 52	2.30	.14	.22	.17	1.21	.29	.86	.14	1.98	1.95
Mean													1.78	
N		183												
Median													1.00	
StdDev			1.09									1.10		.89
Skewness		.19	08	.07	1.35	09	.29	.12	.80	.32	.58	.09	.71	1.25

Questions from the FMU Student Rating Form

Rate the Instructor:

(1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)

- 11. Instructor's ability to present material in a clear and understandable manner was:
- 12. Instructor's ability to stimulate interest in the subject was:
- 13. Instructor's ability to improve understanding of the subject was:
- 14. Instructor's knowledge of the subject was:
- 15. Instructor's ability to encourage critical thinking was:
- 16. Instructor's explanation of course assignments was:
- 17: Overall, the quality of instruction was:

Indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom

(1-Very Satisfied, 2-Satisfied, 3-Dissatisfied, 4-Very Dissatisfied)

18. (In selecting your rating consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax, and other means):

Rate the Course

(1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)

- 19. Overall quality of this course as a learning experience was:
- I10. Relevance of assignments to the course description:
- I11. The textbook and/or other required materials used in this course were:

Rate the Grading System used in the course

- (1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)
- 112. Timeliness on returned graded materials was:
- 113. Overall, the fairness of the grading policy used in this course was:

Teaching Evaluation Dr. Hahn Francis Marion

General Chemistry I Lecture **University Spring 2014**, (CH 101-1 & CH 101-2) General Chemistry I Lab (CH 101L-4T, CH 101L-4R, CH 101L-FW) (Lecture enrollment ~ 80 students each section ~160 students both sections) (Lab enrollment ~ 30 students each section, ~ 90 students all sections)