INST	CLASS	A1	A2	АЗ	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	A10	A11	A12	A13
HAHN, J	CH-102 -B													<del></del>
Teaching	Total	44	44	43	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	43
	1	6	5	7	22	5	8	6	5 31	4	7	6	8	14
<b>Evaluations</b>	2 3	19 14	14 16	17 14	15 5	20 8	17 9	18 13	3⊥ 6	23 13	24 10	13 12	20 10	19 7
Spring 2013 at	4	4	8	4	1	1.0	9	13 5	2	3	2	9	5	2
<b>Francis Marion</b>	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	4	1	1
CH-102-B & CH-	CH-102 -D1													
102-D1 are	Total	40	39	37	37	37	36	39	28	36	39	35	38	39
General Chemistry	1	8	5	9	16	7	11	10	9	9	15	8	6	18
1	2	12	12	11	11	11	8	11	13	11	9	8	11	11
Lectures (~55 each	3 4	13 7	12 10	11 6	9 1	11 8	11 6	12 6	3 3	9 7	13 2	12 6	18 3	6 4
section)	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CH-102L are	CH-102L-EF													
General Chemistry	Total	26	26	26	26	25	26	25	25	26	26	26	26	26
II Labs (3 sections,	1	4	3	4	7	4	7	5	. 5	4	6	6	7	9
	2	7	3	5	10	4	5	3	12	6	9	8	12	8
~ 30 each section)	3	7	7	8	4	7	6	6	7	5	5	6	3	5
	4 -	8	. 13	8	5	10	8	11	1	11	6	6	4	4
A1 to A13 are	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
question numbers 1	CH-102L-FM													
is excellent, 4 is	Total	26	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
poor, 5 is cannot	1	5	3	4	9	4	5	4	3	3	7	4	10	7
rate (see detailed	2	6	7	9	10	9	8	10	19	9	8	8	10	11
notes below), (#	3	8	8	7	6	6	8	5	4	6	7	9	3	4
7	4	7 0	9	7	2	8	6	8	1	9	5	5	4	5
are # students with	5	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
each response)	CH-102L-FW													
	Total	21	21	21	21	20	21	21	20	21	21	21	21	21
A4 is instructor's	Т	1	1	2 -	5	2	3	1	2	1	2	5	4	2
knowledge of	2	10	3	7	10	5	9	9	12	10	10	10	14	15
subject	3	7	8 9	7	4	10	6	7	2	7	8	4	2	2
	4 5	3 0	9	5 0	2 0	3 0	3 0	4 0	4	3 0	1	1 1	1	2
	S	U	U	Ų	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Τ	0	0

## Questions from the FMU Student Rating Form

#### Rate the Instructor:

- (1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)
- Instructor's ability to present material in a clear and understandable manner was:
  Instructor's ability to stimulate interest in the subject was:
- 13. Instructor's ability to improve understanding of the subject was:
- 14. Instructor's knowledge of the subject was:
- 15. Instructor's ability to encourage critical thinking was:
- 16. Instructor's explanation of course assignments was:
- 17: Overall, the quality of instruction was:

## Indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom

(1-Very Satisfied, 2-Satisfied, 3-Dissatisfied, 4-Very Dissatisfied)

18. (In selecting your rating consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax, and other means):

#### Rate the Course

(1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)

19. Overall quality of this course as a learning experience was:

I10. Relevance of assignments to the course description:

I11. The textbook and/or other required materials used in this course were:

# Rate the Grading System used in the course

(1-Excellent, 2-Good, 3-Fair, 4-Poor, 5-Cannot Rate)

I12. Timeliness on returned graded materials was: